

Here I address the appearance of *Cedarville: Liver*, a pro-Administration website that seems to major in the minor and the peripheral rather than the central.

Firstly, all the text of the website is anonymous as are many of its supposed emails. The website <http://cedarvilleheart.blogspot.com> contains putative information on the anonymous author. The writer justifies this by saying that “anonymity seems the only fair Way to avoid distraction from the facts.” It also is the only reasonable way for hiding him from criticism. Twice Virgil Vaduva, the putative author, has failed in emails to me to deny that he was the author. When you fail to answer a direct question, it is not unreasonable inference that to believe such failure seeks to avoid the obvious admission: I am the author.

Secondly, the website nowhere addresses the central question of “certainty vs. less-than-certainty. A trustee who recommended the site to Dr. Brown cited it as “refreshing.” That a so-call refutation document nowhere discusses the central issues is not “refreshing” but “counter-productive.” Dr. Brown relayed the site to the trustees in commendatory fashion, thus keeping up his penchant for operating on the principle that assertion rather than evidence is the way to address serious matters.

Thirdly, the site inaccurately picks up minor matters and reduces them to straw men and then “triumphs” over the self-constructed straw men. Thus the site says that the link on administrative salaries argues that such are too high. In actuality the link observed that the three top administrative salaries total more than total alumni giving for that year. Liver’s inference is not a necessary one. If one is allowed to “infer” whatever he wishes, communication cannot succeed. Furthermore the link was not removed because of liver, but because of familial Influence. Liver is quick to assume credit for which he is not due. But, of course, liver knows that Jesus returned in 70 A.D.

And again the site alleges that the Greenberg and Traurig letter (1700 partners nationwide) doesn’t address Daniel Ice but the service provider. The law firm asks the server to eliminate Ice’s inclusion of The Milliman tape. So the “real” addressee is Ice, not the “nominal addressee”, the service provider. In describing lumber one usually refers to a 2” by 4”. Actually those are the “nominal” terms. The Real dimensional measurements are 1 ¾ “ by and 3 ¾”. There is a difference between “nominal” and “real.” Liver needs a bit more sophistication in the conventions of the real world. That a national law firm with fees many times larger than those of a University employee (the secretary who would type the letter) contains the necessary inference that if the matter is not settled upon request, then legal action is anticipated. It is noteworthy that the letter refers to no specific statutory or case law which requires the server host to eliminate the link posted by Daniel Ice.

Or again “liver” insists that Ohio law includes the concept of “at will dismissal of any employee by any employer, at any time, for any reason.” Actually tenure creates the situation where the employer can only terminate “for cause.” Cedarville always alleges that they cannot reveal such, but their Academic VP talked with a student for an hour and forty-five minutes about the case, and eliminated the non-causal elements, thereby creating a necessary inference as to cause.

Because “liver” majors on minors – and does not get the minors right – I will not here further address the errors of that “liver.”